

Poisson Processes Reading Group Notes

Gergely Flamich

November 15, 2023

1 Outline

- what defines a PP? independence, and mean measure
- simulation:
 1. count first, points second
 2. in time order
- thinning, mapping and restriction: maybe draw a triangle?
- superposition theorem
- equivalence with Gumbel processes in log-space
- emphasize dual view: all the points are there already, vs computational simulation
- the intensity transform for Poisson processes: processes of Poisson type
- Indexing processes
- I won't be dealing with:
 1. Markov Chains
 2. fitting the mean measure of Poisson processes

2 General Poisson processes

Let Π denote the set of points in space.

Define:

- $N(A) = \#(\Pi \cap A)$
- $\mu(A) = \mathbb{E}[N(A)]$

Two conditions:

1. if $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then $N(A) \perp N(B)$
2. $N(A)$ is Poisson distributed with mean $\mu(A)$.

Assume that the base measure is normalized, things also work when stuff is not normalized
PP is localized: whatever happens in set A is independent of whatever happens outside

2.1 Simulating General PPs

- no structure assumed on Ω
- pick a partition B_1, \dots of Ω
- sample $N(B_i) \sim \text{Pois}(\mu(B_i))$
- sample $X_1, \dots, X_{N(B_i)} \sim \mu(\cdot)/\mu(B_i)$.

2.2 Modifying Poisson processes

1. **Superposition theorem:** Let Π_1, Π_2 be independent Poisson processes on the same space with mean measures μ_1 and μ_2 . Then, $\Pi = \Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2$ is a Poisson process with mean measure $\mu(A) = \mu_1(A) + \mu_2(A)$. (Generalizes to countable superposition)
2. **Thinning theorem:** Let Π be a Poisson process over Ω with mean measure μ , and let $S(x) \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\rho(x))$ for $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Let

$$S(\Pi) = \{X \in \Pi \mid S(X) = 1\}. \quad (1)$$

Then, $S(\Pi)$ is a Poisson process with mean measure

$$\mu^*(A) = \int_A \rho d\mu \quad (2)$$

3. **Mapping Theorem:** Let Π be a Poisson process on Ω , and let $h : \Omega \rightarrow \Psi$ be one-to-one. Then,

$$h(\Pi) = \{h(X) \in \Psi \mid X \in \Pi\} \quad (3)$$

is a Poisson process over Ψ with mean measure

$$h_*\mu(A) = \mu(h^{-1}(A)). \quad (4)$$

This extends to any case where $h_*\mu$ is non-atomic, e.g. projections

4. **Restriction theorem:** Let Π be a process over Ω with mean measure μ . Let $U \subseteq \Omega$. Then $\Pi|_U = \Pi \cap U$ is a Poisson process with mean measure $\mu|_U(A) = \mu(A \cap U)$.

3 Using PPs for sampling: Exponential Races

Use spatio-temporal processes over $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{A}$, with mean measure

$$\mu(A) = \int_A p(x \mid t)\lambda(t) dx dt \quad (5)$$

Idea: we will want to sample from a distribution Q over \mathcal{A} , augment this space with time \mathbb{R}^+ .

Time-projection:

$$\text{proj}(\Pi) = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid \exists x \in \mathcal{A} : (t, x) \in \Pi\} \quad (6)$$

Then:

$$\text{proj}_* \mu(B) = \int_B \lambda(t) dt \quad (7)$$

Distribution of the first arrival:

$$\mathbb{P}[T \geq t] = \mathbb{P}[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\mu(t)} \quad (8)$$

In general:

$$\mathbb{P}[T_k \geq t \mid T_{k-1}] = \exp(-(\mu(t) - \mu(T_{k-1}))) \quad (9)$$

Define:

$$\Lambda(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(\tau) d\tau \quad (10)$$

Cumulative intensity transform:

$$(\Lambda \circ \text{proj})_* \mu(B) = \int_{\Lambda^{-1}(B)} \lambda(t) dt, \quad \text{set } u = \Lambda(t) \quad (11)$$

$$= \int_B du \quad (12)$$

Hence, we can always transform a spatiotemporal process to be time-homogeneous.

3.1 Simulating exponential races

Time homogeneous process:

$$\mathbb{P}[T \geq t] = e^{-t} \quad \Rightarrow \quad T \sim \text{Exp}(1) \quad (13)$$

Hence, simulate $(T_k - T_{k-1}) \sim \text{Exp}$, then compute T_k , then simulate $X_k \sim p(x \mid T_k)$.

3.1.1 Numerical stability: Gumbel processes

Simulate stuff in the log-domain! Let $T_1 \sim \mathbb{E}(\lambda)$

$$\text{Exp}(\lambda) \sim \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot \text{Exp}(1) \quad (14)$$

$$\Rightarrow -\log T_1 = \log \lambda - \log \mathbb{E}(1) = \log \lambda + G_1 \sim \text{Gumbel}(\log \lambda) \quad (15)$$

Where $\mathbb{P}[G_1 \leq g] = e^{e^{-g}}$. Furthermore:

$$G_k \mid G_{k-1} \sim \text{Gumbel}(0) |_{(-\infty, G_{k-1})} \quad (16)$$

4 Basic Applications

We now have our hammer, let's hit some nails!

4.1 Superposition theorem: Gumbel-max Trick

Let's use a discrete alphabet $|\mathcal{A}| = K$. Pick $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_K \in \mathbb{R}^+$ as our rates, and let Π_1, \dots, Π_K be Poisson processes with intensities $\lambda_1 \cdot \delta(x = 1), \dots, \lambda_K \cdot \delta(x = K)$. Now, define

$$\Pi = \bigcup_k \Pi_k. \quad (17)$$

Then

$$\lambda(t, x) = \sum_k \lambda_k \cdot \delta(x = k). \quad (18)$$

Then, the projected intensity is

$$\lambda(t) = \sum_j \sum_k \lambda_k \cdot \delta(j = k) \quad (19)$$

$$= \sum_k \lambda_k \quad (20)$$

From which

$$p(x | t) = \frac{\lambda(x, t)}{\lambda(t)} \quad (21)$$

$$= \sum_k \frac{\lambda_k}{\sum_j \lambda_j} \cdot \delta(x = k) \quad (22)$$

Thus,

$$p(x = k | t) = \frac{\lambda_k}{\sum_j \lambda_j} \quad (23)$$

How can we simulate the first arrival of Π ?

1. first arrival of Π only depends on the first arrivals of Π_k
2. simulate first arrival of each process separately: $\frac{1}{\lambda_k} \cdot E_k$, where $E_k \sim \text{Exp}(1)$
3. first arrival time of Π is earliest arrival across all of Π_k :

$$T_1 = \min_k \left\{ \frac{E_k}{\lambda_k} \right\} \quad (24)$$

4. first arrival coordinate of Π is

$$X_1 = \arg \min_k \left\{ \frac{E_k}{\lambda_k} \right\} \quad (25)$$

$$= \arg \max \{ G_k + \log \lambda_k \} \quad (26)$$

4.2 Thinning theorem: Rejection Sampling

REMINDER: from here onwards, divide the board into picture of PP and calculation
From now: assume we have a target Q and a proposal P . We can sample from P and can evaluate $r = q/p$.

Idea: Set Π as the base process over $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{A}$ with mean measure $\lambda \times P$. Then, use one of the theorems to modify Π , find first arrival of modified process.

1. Thinning theorem:

$$\mu^*(A) = \int_A \rho(x)p(x) dx dt. \quad (27)$$

Want:

$$\mu^*(A) = \int_A q(x) dx dt. \quad (28)$$

Therefore, set

$$\rho(x) = q(x)/p(x). \quad (29)$$

2. However, we need to ensure that $0 \leq \rho(x) \leq 1$. So instead, set

$$\rho(x) = \frac{q(x)}{M \cdot p(x)}, \quad (30)$$

where $M = \sup\{q(x)/p(x)\}$

3. Hence, to sample, simulate Π , and for an arrival (T, X) , delete it with probability $r(x)/M$. Return the first point that wasn't deleted.

4.3 Mapping theorem: A* Sampling

Similar idea as before.

1. Mapping theorem: for h one-to-one, we have

$$h_*\mu([0, s] \times B) = \int_{h^{-1}([0, s] \times B)} p(x) dx dt \quad (31)$$

Want:

$$h_*\mu([0, s] \times B) = \int_{[0, s] \times B} q(x) dx dt \quad (32)$$

$$= s \int_B q(x) dx \quad (33)$$

Idea: Restrict to only temporal shifts. Especially good idea, since \mathbb{R}^+ is guaranteed to have “more” structure. Thus, let $h(t, x) = (f(x, t), x)$. Then:

$$h_*\mu([0, s] \times B) = \int_{h^{-1}([0, s] \times B)} p(x) dx dt \quad (34)$$

$$= \int_B \int_{f^{-1}([0, s], x)} p(x) dt dx \quad (35)$$

$$= \int_B \int_{f^{-1}(0, x)}^{f^{-1}(s, x)} p(x) dt dx \quad (36)$$

$$= \int_B (f^{-1}(s, x) - f^{-1}(0, x))p(x) dt dx \quad (37)$$

Therefore, we want $f^{-1}(0, x) = 0$, and $f^{-1}(s, x)p(x) = s \cdot q(x)$. Thus,

$$f^{-1}(s, x) = s \cdot \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \quad (38)$$

From which

$$f(t, x) = t \cdot \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \quad (39)$$

$$h(t, x) = \left(t \cdot \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}, x \right) \quad (40)$$

2. runtime is geometric

3. **Simulation:**

4. **depth-limitation possible**

4.4 Restriction theorem: Greedy Poisson Rejection Sampling

Again, similar idea as before. We pick a function $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and let

$$U = \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{A} \mid t \leq \varphi(x)\} \quad (41)$$

Then, by the restriction theorem, $\Pi|_U$ is a Poisson process with mean measure

$$\mu|_U(A) = \mu(A \cap U) \quad (42)$$

Let (\tilde{T}, \tilde{X}) be the first arrival off $\Pi|_U$, and let $\tilde{X} \sim q_\varphi$. Then,

$$\frac{q_\varphi(x)}{p(x)} = \int_0^{\varphi(x)} \mathbb{P}[\tilde{T} \geq t] dt. \quad (43)$$

WLOG, we can decompose $\varphi = \sigma \circ r$. Then, we want to pick σ such that $q_\varphi = q$. It turns out, that to achieve this, σ^{-1} must solve

$$(\sigma^{-1})' = w_Q(\sigma^{-1}) - \sigma^{-1} \cdot w_P(\sigma^{-1}), \quad (44)$$

where

$$w_P(h) = \mathbb{P}_{Z \sim P} \left[\frac{q(Z)}{p(Z)} \geq h \right]. \quad (45)$$

For the triangular-uniform case, where the triangle has base ℓ :

$$\sigma(h) = \frac{2h}{2 - \ell \cdot h} \quad (46)$$